



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/933,691	/933,691 08/21/2001		Nobuaki Ema	10830-074001	6398	
26211	7590	03/02/2004		EXAMINER		
FISH & RIG			STOCK JR, GORDON J			
45 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 2800 NEW YORK, NY 10111				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2877	2877	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commence	09/933,69	1	EMA, NOBUAKI					
(Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Gordon J S		2877					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE MAI - Extension: after SIX (- If the peric - If NO peric - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI s of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn od for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 od for reply is specified above, the maximum st reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months a tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 0) days, a reply within the statuatutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. mmunication.				
Status									
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 10 November 20	003.						
· —	•	2b)⊠ This action is n							
3)☐ Sin	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	 ✓ Claim(s) 1 and 5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) 1 and 5 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application	Papers								
<i>,</i> —	e specification is objected to by th								
• —	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
	placement drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected to								
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	150				
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application (PTC	J-132)				

Application/Control Number: 09/933,691 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's disclosure of prior related art in view of Cho et al. (5,265,177) and Feldman (5,159,473) further in view of Alexander et al. (5,986,782) and Beller et al. (6,067,150).

As to claim 1, the applicant's disclosure teaches prior art apparatus comprising: a measurement unit for measuring an optical output signal output from the optical component (Fig. 3, 104 and 106 of applicant's disclosure); a first optical fiber which is connected to an input terminal of the optical component under test and inputs the measurement optical signal to the optical component (Fig. 3, 102 of applicant's disclosure); a second optical fiber which is connected to an output terminal of the optical component under test and transfers, to the measurement unit, an optical output signal output from the optical component under test (Fig. 3, 103 of applicant's disclosure); a position controller for adjusting relative positions between the first optical fiber, second optical fiber, and connective sections of the optical component such that insertion loss becomes a minimum (page 2 of applicant's disclosure). Applicant's disclosure is silent concerning the positioning to a maximum signal but discloses in prior art that there is positioning until insertion loss is minimized. However, Cho in an optical package for coupling optical fibers and Feldman in an apparatus for optical interconnections teaches that insertion loss is directly proportional to signal to noise (Cho: col. 2, lines 7-10; Feldman: col. 8, lines 65-68). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time to adjust the positions until the

Art Unit: 2877

signal becomes a maximum because connections are adjusted until minimum insertion loss occurs which is equivalent to having a maximum signal transfer achieved.

In addition, applicant's disclosure teaches the optical component has a plurality of output terminals and measurement equipment (power meters) (page 3; lines 1-6 of applicant's disclosure). Applicant's disclosure of prior related art is silent concerning a plurality of photodetectors. However, Beller in an optical component measurement system teaches that insertion loss is wavelength dependent (col. 1, lines 15-25) and Alexander in a signal to noise monitoring system teaches a plurality of detectors such as in certain spectral analyzers or as combinations of devices such as spectrometers to measure signal to noise in a optical communications system (col. 4, lines 30-60). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have the system comprise a plurality of detectors in order to detect wavelength dependent signal to noise that corresponds to insertion loss. As for a plurality of output terminals coupled to photodetectors via second optical fibers, Examiner takes Official Notice that optical fibers are well known in the art for optical coupling. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have the output terminals of the component under test be connected to the photodetectors via fiber coupling in order to transmit the optical signal from the component to the photodetector.

As for display units, Alexander in Fig. 3 and Beller in Figs. 1b, 3a, 3b, 4a, 4b suggest display units. Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have the system comprise a display unit in order to display the results of the measurements.

Art Unit: 2877

As for switches, Alexander discloses switches to go between channels (col. 4, lines 30-60).

As to claim 5, the applicant's disclosure teaches a prior related art method comprising: inputting a measurement optical signal to the optical component under test by way of a first optical fiber connected to an input terminal of the optical component under test; transmitting an optical signal output from the measurement optical component by way of a second optical fiber connected to an output terminal of the optical component under test; measuring an optical output signal output from the optical component under test on the basis of the optical output signal transmitted by way of the second optical fiber; adjusting relative positions between the first and second optical fibers and connections of the optical component under test such that insertion loss is minimized (Fig. 3 and page 2 of applicant's disclosure). Applicant's disclosure is silent concerning the positioning to a maximum signal but discloses in prior art that there is positioning until insertion loss is minimized. However, Cho in an optical package for coupling optical fibers and Feldman in an apparatus for optical interconnections teaches that insertion loss is directly proportional to signal to noise (Cho: col. 2, lines 7-10; Feldman: col. 8, lines 65-68). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time to adjust the positions until the signal becomes a maximum because connections are adjusted until minimum insertion loss occurs which is equivalent to having a maximum signal transfer achieved.

In addition, applicant's disclosure teaches the optical component has a plurality of output terminals and measurement equipment (power meters) (page 3; lines 1-6 of applicant's disclosure). Applicant's disclosure of prior related art is silent concerning a plurality of photodetectors. However, Beller in an optical component measurement system teaches that

Application/Control Number: 09/933,691 Page 5

Art Unit: 2877

insertion loss is wavelength dependent (col. 1, lines 15-25) and Alexander in a signal to noise monitoring system teaches a plurality of detectors such as in certain spectral analyzers or as combinations of devices such as spectrometers to measure signal to noise in a optical communications system (col. 4, lines 30-60). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have the system comprise a plurality of detectors in order to detect wavelength dependent signal to noise that corresponds to insertion loss. As for a plurality of output terminals coupled to photodetectors via second optical fibers, Examiner takes Official Notice that optical fibers are well known in the art for optical coupling. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have the output terminals of the component under test be connected to the photodetectors via fiber coupling in order to transmit the optical signal from the component to the photodetector.

As for display units, Alexander in Fig. 3 and Beller in Figs. 1b, 3a, 3b, 4a, 4b suggest display units. Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have the system comprise a display unit in order to display the results of the measurements.

As for switches, Alexander discloses switches to go between channels (col. 4, lines 30-60).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2877

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - U.S. Patent 5,090,802 to Longhurst
 - U.S. Patent 6,175,675 to Lee et al.
 - U.S. Patent 6,177,985 to Bloom

Fax/Telephone Numbers

If the applicant wishes to send a fax dealing with either a proposed amendment or a discussion with a phone interview, then the fax should:

- 1) Contain either a statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the fax cover sheet; and
 - 2) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Papers related to the application may be submitted to Group 2800 by Fax transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO Fax machine located in Crystal Plaza 4. The form of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Machine number is: (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gordon J. Stock whose telephone number is (571) 272-2431. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

gs

February 13, 2004

Jandra V. Smith Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877

Application/Control Number: 09/933,691

Art Unit: 2877

Page 7